Independent Investigator Pre Hearing submission.

Arrangements for the Hearings Sub-Committee hearing

Please tick the relevant boxes.

1	Are you planning to attend the Hearings Sub-committee hearing on the proposed date in the accompanying letter? If 'No', please explain why.	Yes	Reason:
2	Are you going to call any witnesses? If 'Yes', please fill in the next form with details.	No □✓	
3	Do you or your witnesses have any access difficulties? For example, is wheelchair access needed? If 'Yes', please give details.	No □✓	Details:
4	Do you, your representative or witnesses have any special needs? For example, is an interpreter needed? If 'Yes' please give details	No □✓	Details:

5	Do you want any part of the hearing to be held in private? If 'Yes', please give reasons.	No □✓	Reasons:
6	Do you want any part of the relevant documents to be withheld from public inspection? If 'Yes', please give reasons.	Yes □✓	Reasons: The signatures on the interview notes & on my report should be removed before they come into the public domain to prevent copying & potential fraudulent use. I will bring the original documents to the hearing so that the sub-committee can inspect them if there are any questions as to authenticity.

Signed:

Dated:3rd November 2011

Subsequent email received 21 November following notification of Subject Member's Pre-Hearing submission:

I have looked through Cllr MacDonald's responses on the pre-hearing questionnaire and have no comments on them. I would, however, observe that the apology referred to which is in paragraph 7.1 of my report relates to any offence caused by her e-mail rather than to the fact that the e-mail was sent at all. I don't think that I am being unnecessarily pedantic in commenting on the nature of the apology given which is consistent with recent apologies by politicians where the apology is for any offence caused rather than for the act itself.

Regards

Tim

Tim Revell Investigator